

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 03 2008

PATENT
Client Reference Number: Super-viden

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

on Sept-3-2008
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate

Dr. O. M. (Sam) Zaghmout

Signature Sam Zaghmout

Attachments: 1. Response to the non-final Office action (8 pages). 2. Copy of the previously filed preliminary amendment-filed on September 30, 2005. 3. Request for three months extension. 4. Credit card payment for

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**Applicants:**

Kevin James SOPER

Philip Brendan BANKS

Title: A VIDEO PROJECTOR AND OPTICAL ENGINE

Filing Date: 11/17/2005

Application Serial Number: 10/550,449

Examiner: Andrew T Sever

Art unit:2851

Confirmation No. 4639

Mail Stop: Amendment

Date: September 3, 2008

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

(IN RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED ON March 3, 2008)

This paper is responsive to the Office Action of March 3, 2008. The Office action set a shortened statutory response period of three (3) months from that mailing date. An extension of time to respond to the Office Action is respectfully requested. In accordance with the provision of 37 CFR § 1.136(a), it is respectfully requested that a three-month extension of time be granted in which to respond to the non-final Office action mailed March 3, 2008, said period of response being extended from June 3, 2008 to September

Page 1. 2:39 PM, 9/3/2008. Response Non-Final Office action. Mailed on March 3, 2008. Applicants: SOPER et al. Title: A VIDEO PROJECTOR AND OPTICAL ENGINE. Filing Date: 11/17/2005. Application Serial Number: 10/550,449. Examiner: Andrew T Sever. Art unit:2851. Confirmation No. 4639. Mail Stop: Amendment

3, 2008. A petition for Extension of Time and the appropriate fee are being filed concurrently with this amendment.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of all grounds of rejections, in view of the amendment and the remarks herein, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 were examined on the merit in the non-final Office action mailed March 3, 2008. Claims 1 and 14 have been amended. With this Amendment, Claims 1-14 are pending. Support for the amendment can be found in the present application. Accordingly, no question of new matter should arise, and entry of this amendment is respectfully requested.

In the non-final Office action mailed March 3, 2008, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-5 and 14 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakano et al (US6,746,125). The Examiner has objected to claims 6-13.

The applicants submit the following amendments. Applicants have rewritten all claims to more particularly point out and distinctly define the invention in an effort to overcome the formal objections and rejections and to more clearly define the invention patentably over the prior art.

Amendment to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6 of this paper.

Page 2, 2:39 PM, 9/3/2008, Response Non-Final Office action. Mailed on March 3, 2008. Applicants: SOPER et al, Title: A VIDEO PROJECTOR AND OPTICAL ENGINE Filing Date: 11/17/2005. Application Serial Number: 10/550,449. Examiner: Andrew T Sever. Art unit 2851. Confirmation No. 4639. Mail Stop: Amendment